COVID-19 triggered a massive shift to working from home. In the spring of 2020, American workers supplied roughly half of paid labor services from home. According to survey evidence in Barrero, Bloom, and Davis (2020b), 20 percent of all full workdays will be supplied from home after the pandemic ends, as compared to just 5 percent in 2017–2018. Stock prices also reflect the shift to working from home. For example, Papanikolaou and Schmidt (2020) report daily equity returns in 2020 for firms sorted by share of employees able to work remotely. From February 14, 2020, to June 15, the cumulative return differential between the top and bottom quartiles is 19.4 percentage points, with most of the differential emerging by mid-March. Similarly, Pagano, Wagner, and Zechner (2020) find much higher returns in the wake of COVID-19 at “resilient” firms, as measured by the ability of their employees to perform jobs at home and without interactions in physical proximity.

These observations prompt us to ask whether COVID-19 has also shifted the direction of innovation toward technologies that support video conferencing, telecommuting, remote interactivity, and working from home (collectively, WFH). The economic reasoning is simple: when remote work becomes a bigger share of all work, the incentives to advance technologies that support WFH become stronger. Likewise, Acemoglu (1998) stresses that a high proportion of skilled workers in the labor force implies a large market size for skill-complementary technologies, creating incentives for skill-biased technical change. Motivated by this type of reasoning, we assess how forcefully the direction of technical change responds to a large, sudden, surprise shift in working arrangements.

Specifically, we use human-guided automated readings of US patent applications, identifying the ones that advance WFH technologies. We start with the raw XML files of new patent applications, which are published by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) every Thursday. These files include the patent application date (filing date), publication date, application ID, inventor name, assignee (entity that owns the patent), patent class, title, and full text of the invention description. We examine the content of the invention descriptions to identify patent applications that advance WFH technologies. Our analysis sample contains all patent applications published from January 7, 2010, through December 24, 2020, which covers filings from January 1, 2010, to September 11, 2020. There are about 3.6 million patent applications in our dataset, 20,907 of which pertain to WFH technologies according to our classification algorithm described below.

As a first step, we construct a dictionary of terms that pertain to WFH technologies. To do so, we manually reviewed 20 articles about “working from home,” “working-from-home technologies,” “remote work tools” and the like drawn from online encyclopedias, newspapers, tech-oriented media, and popular blog posts. Based on our review, we created our dictionary of WFH terms: telecommuting, telework, teleworking, working from home, mobile work, remote work, flexible workplace, work from home, mobile working, remote working, work remotely, working...
remotely, remote workplace, telecommuter, teleworker, home-sourced worker, home-sourced employee, work-at-home, work at home, telecommuting specialist, nomadic worker, nomadic employee, work-from-home, work-from-anywhere, video conference, video conferencing, virtual office, distance work, flexible work, virtual work, virtual office, virtual employee, home office, home-based office, home-based work, work from anywhere, working from anywhere, work-from-anywhere, digital workplace, video chat, video call, teleconference, teleconferencing, working from a remote location, and work from a remote location.

Second, we execute computer-automated readings of the patent application texts that summarize the inventions and describe their potential applications. If the text contains one or more terms in the dictionary above, we regard the patent application as one that advances WFH technologies. Similar results obtain when requiring the text to contain two or more dictionary terms.


Before turning to our main results, we note that there are long and variable lags from the filing of new patent applications until publication by the USPTO. That means that our analysis sample misses a fraction of recent patent filings, and that fraction becomes larger near the end of our sample. Figure 1 shows the distribution of these lags for all published patent applications that were first filed from January 1, 2010, to December 24, 2018. The mean lag from filing to publication is 12.9 months for all patent applications and 11.5 months for those that support WFH technologies. The similarity of the lag structures suggests that the reported percentage of filings accounted for by patent applications that support WFH technologies is unlikely to be much distorted by publication lags, even near the end of our sample.

Figure 2 reports the percentage of newly filed patent applications that support WFH technologies at a monthly frequency from January 2010 through September 2020. We compute this percentage as 100 times the ratio of (i) patent application filings in the month that support WFH technologies to (ii) all patent application filings in the month. Interestingly, the WFH share of new patent applications rises from 0.53 percent in January 2020 to 0.73 percent in fees for an expedited process. For more information, see https://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/mpep/s1120.html, https://www.uspto.gov/patents-getting-started/patent-process-overview#step6, and https://www.uspto.gov/patent/initiatives/uspto-patent-application-initiatives-timeline.

We are working on methods to adjust for the variable lags between the filing and publication of new patent applications, so that we can estimate recent flow levels as well as shares.
February, before the World Health Organization declared the novel coronavirus outbreak a global pandemic (Muccari, Chow, and Murphy 2020). China reported the first death from COVID-19 in early January and imposed a lock-down in Wuhan on January 23. By the end of January, the virus had spread to many other countries, including the United States. Figure 2 suggests that these developments had already—by February—triggered the beginnings of a shift in new patent applications toward technologies that support WFH.

By March, COVID-19 cases and deaths had exploded in many localities and countries around the world. Government-mandated lock-downs and voluntary social distancing responses led to an extraordinary collapse in economic activity. By April and May, half of paid work in the United States was performed by persons working from home. As Figure 2 shows, the WFH percentage of new patent applications continued to rise after February. In September 2020, the WFH share of new patent applications reached 1.16 percent, more than double its January value and greatly surpassing any month before the pandemic struck. Thus, we find clear evidence that COVID-19 has shifted the direction of innovation toward technologies that support WFH.

Other evidence also points to strong commercial incentives to acquire existing WFH technologies in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. For example, Verizon announced on April 16, 2020, that it would acquire BlueJeans, a video conferencing firm, for about $500 million (Krause 2020). Zoom announced on May 7 that it would acquire Keybase, an identity management firm (O’Flaherty 2020). Adobe announced on November 9 that it would acquire Workfront, a leading work management platform for marketers, for $1.5 billion (Bloomberg 2020). And Salesforce announced on December 1 that it had agreed to acquire Slack, a workplace software company, for $27.7 billion (Griffith and Hirsh 2020).

It will be interesting to track the flow of new WFH patent applications in the coming months and years. One possibility is that the recent surge mainly reflects a pipeline acceleration effect, whereby firms with nearly completed WFH innovations rush to patent them in response to the pandemic-induced shift to remote work. In that case, the WFH share might return to its prepandemic baseline in 2021—or even temporarily fall below its baseline. A second view is that Figure 2 shows the beginnings of a persistent rise in the flow of new patents that advance WFH technologies. The ongoing upward trajectory in the WFH share since the pandemic struck favors this second view.

Under the second view, the directional shift in innovation will drive continuing improvements in WFH technologies and the tools and platforms that support WFH activity even after the COVID-19 pandemic comes under control. By improving the quality and productivity of remote work activity, a more rapid advance of WFH technologies will reinforce the shift to working from home even after the pandemic ends.

Barrero, Bloom, and Davis (2020b) provide evidence of several other mechanisms that will drive a persistent shift to working from home in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. These other mechanisms include better-than-expected experiences in working from home since the pandemic struck, investments in physical and human capital that enable and support working from home, a greatly diminished stigma associated with working from home, and an expressed desire by many people to avoid public transport and crowded facilities even after the pandemic ends due to lingering fears of infection risk.
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